



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST MANIED INVENTOR	ATTORVEY DOCKETAD.	Carlotta Anna Carlotta	
09/425,622 10/22/1999		ELIZABETH KING	PCS10303AJTJ	9810	
7590 09/15/2004			EXAMINER		
GREGG C BENSON PFIZER INC			SPEAR, JAMES M		
EASTERN POI	NT ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOX 519			1615		
GROTON, CT	06340		DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	1 No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	09/425,622		KING ET AL.			
	Office Action Guilliary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	James M Sp		1615			
Period fo		ion appears on the C	over sneet with the	correspondence address			
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be pely received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event ation. ys, a reply within the statuto y period will apply and will e by statute, cause the applica	t, however, may a reply be ory minimum of thirty (30) do expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ation to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  on the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>13 Mav 2004</i> .					
	•	☐ This action is nor	n-final.				
<i>,</i> —							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>31-43 and 46-66</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>32,35-40,46,52,53,56,57 and 59-66</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>31,33,34,41,42,47-51,54,55 and 58</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>43</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		] objected to by the	Examiner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required	if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note	the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	foreian priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. & 119 <i>(</i>	a)-(d) or (f)			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oreign priority ande	1 00 0.0.0. 3 1 15(	a)-(a) or (i).			
, –	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been	received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doct			ation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th						
	application from the International B	· •		vod m uno rednestas estage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	·		ved.			
·			·				
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)			
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ · No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) 5 6	. — .	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/425,622

Art Unit: 1615

The amendment filed May 13, 2004 has been received and entered. Following are new grounds of rejection.

- a. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 31, 33, 34, 41, 42, 47-51, 54, 55 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stella et al US 5,874,418 in view of de Tejada US 6,277,884 B1.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

The Stella et al reference shows that it is well known to use particular drugs to treat erectile dysfunction in a sustained release form, such as papaverine, column 21 line 42, and testosterone, example 1. The reference does not show the cGMP PDE-5 inhibitor, sildenafil. DeTejada is relied on for teaching it is well known to use a cGMP PDE-5 inhibitor such as sildenafil or other drug effective against erectile dysfunction such as testosterone for the same disorder. Column 11, line 23 and 35. It would be reasonable to provide such dosage forms in a sustained release form for the

Art Unit: 1615

advantages gained by such formulations. See column 12, lines 24-34, column 13, lines 24-39. One skilled in the art would readily determine the changes and improvements in an individual characteristic of such drugs used in treating sexual dysfunction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the cGMP PDE-5 inhibitor, sildenafil of Tejada in the Stella et al sustained release formulation. The motivation being a desire to provide optimum bioavailability of the drug and improve the vasodilatation response.

4. Claim 43 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 32, 35-40, 46, 52, 53, 56, 57 and 59-66 are allowed.

Claims 31, 33, 34, 41, 42, 47-51, 54, 55 and 58 are rejected.

Claims 1-30, 44 and 45 have been canceled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Spear whose telephone number is 571 272 0605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 6:30 AM to 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page, can be reached on 571 272 0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/425,622

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1615

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

ames M. Spear

James M Spear Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

September 12, 2004